## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,209	04/07/2004	Richard Lunak	050704/306103	7439
826 ALSTON & B	7590 05/01/2007 JRD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AM	IERICA PLAZA	NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D		
	RYON STREET, SUITI , NC 28280-4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2876	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/820,209	LUNAK ET AL.
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	TO personnel):	
(1) <u>Kimberly D. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Flynt Strean.	(4)	
Date of Interview. 25 April 2007.	•	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's represe	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) was not reached.	h)
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation She</u>		eed to if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attac	o copy of the amendments	ner agreed would render the claim that would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFIC INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGI INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS I FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has a ER OF ONE MONTH OR T INTERVIEW SUMMARY F	lready been filed, APPLICANT IS HIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		1. N.
	l l	un flylin
	KIME PRI	BERLY D. N <b>GUYÉN</b> MARY EXAMIN <b>ÉR</b>
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner	's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Strean pointed out that in the past the examiner had characterized some responses from applicants to the arguments, specifically the automatically comparing, as persuasive regarding the Frederick et al. reference. The examiner recommended Mr. Strean to have the arguments in writing in the next communication responding to the Office action mailed March 12, 2007. The examiner will discuss the subject matter(s) with her supervisor(s) and call Mr. Strean to let him know the status of the case (i.e., the Office rejection mailed March 12, 2007 should be maintained or withdrawn).

From-ALSTON & BIRD LLP

PTOL-413A (12-02): Approved for use through xx/xx/xxxx. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form													
Application No.: 10/820,209 First Named Applicant: Richard Lunak Examiner: Kimberly D. Nguyen Art Unit: 2876 Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection  Tentative Participants: (1) Examiner Nguyen (2) R. Flynt Strean (Reg. No. 56,450)  Proposed Date of Interview: 4-25-2007 Proposed Time: 10:00 AM ET  Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference  Exhibit to Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO  Issues To Be Discussed													
								Issues	Claims	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
								(1) § 103(a) Rejectio	on 15-30	Frederick et al.; Liff et al.			
under Frederick et al issue(s): In the Office	Applicants wish I, in view of Liff te Action, the Exergments as have	be Presented: to discuss the rejection tet al. Specifically, A caminer relies on the le been asserted in variousses to those argum	pplicants wish to rederick et al. re ious past Office	o discuss at lease eference as the Actions, even t	st the following primary reference,								
R. Flynt Strea Alston & Bird (704) 444-143 flynt.strean@i	ILLP 10		٠	·									
An interview was c	onducted on th	e above-identified ap	plication on										
interview (see MPI	EP§713.01). To submit a wri	pleted by applicant a his application will t itten record of this in is interview (37 CFR	not be delayed to iterview. There	rom issue beca fore, applican	iuse oi								
(Applicant/Applica	int's Represent	ative Signature)	(Examiner/SP)	E Signature)	•								